

**ZMK (23) 6/07, S. 376 ff.**

**Prof. Dr. Jürgen Geis-Gerstorfer et al.**

**Literatur zum Artikel „Das knöchern verankerte Dentalimplantat:  
State-of-the-Art, aktuelle Trends und Ausblick“**

1. Ellingsen JE. Surface configurations of dental implants. *Periodontology* 2000 1998;17: 36-46.
2. Brånemark PI, Adell R, Breine U, Hansson BO, Lindstrom J, Ohlsson A. Intraosseous anchorage of dental prostheses. I. Experimental studies. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg* 1969;3:81-100.
3. Cochran DL. The evidence for immediate loading of implants. *J Evid Base Dent Pract* 2006;6:155-163.
4. Albrektsson T, Brånemark PI, Hansson HA, Lindström J. Osseointegrated titanium implants. Requirements for ensuring a long-lasting, direct bone-to-implant anchorage in man. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1981; 52:155-170.
5. Ratner BD. The surface characterization of biomedical materials: How finely can we resolve surface structure? In: Ratner BD (ed.). *Surface characterization of biomaterials*. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1988:13-36.
6. Kasemo B, Lausmaa J. Surface science aspects on inorganic biomaterials. *CRC Crit Rev Biocomp* 1986;2:335-380.
7. Shalabi MM, Gortemaker A, Van't Hof MA, Jansen JA, Creugers NH. Implant surface roughness and bone healing: a systematic review. *J Dent Res* 2006;85(6):496-500.
8. Klokkevold PR, Nishimura RD, Adachi M, Caputo A. Osseointegration enhanced by chemical etching of the titanium surface: A torque removal study in the rabbit. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8:442-447.
9. Cordioli G, Majzoub Z, Piattelli A, Scarano A. Removal torque and histomorphometric investigation of 4 different titanium surfaces: an experimental study in the rabbit tibia. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:668-674.
10. Buser D, Schenk RK, Steinemann S, Fiorellini JP, Fox CH, Stich H. Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants. A histomorphometric study in miniature pigs. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1991;25(7):889-902.
11. Cooper LF. A role for surface topography in creating and maintaining bone at titanium endosseous implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000;84(5):522-534.
12. Kieswetter K, Schwartz Z, Hummert TW, Cochran DL, Simpson J, Dean DD, Boyan BD. Surface roughness modulates the local production of growth factors and cytokines by osteoblast-like MG-63 cells. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1996a;32(1):55-63.
13. Martin JY, Schwartz Z, Hummert TW, Schraub DM, Simpson J, Lankford J, Jr., Dean DD, Cochran DL, Boyan BD. Effect of titanium surface roughness on proliferation, differentiation, and protein synthesis of human osteoblast-like cells (MG63). *J Biomed Mater Res* 1995;29(3):389-401.

14. Wennerberg A, Ektessabi A, Albrektsson T, Johansson C, Andersson B. A 1-year follow-up of implants of differing surface roughness placed in rabbit bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1997;12:486-494.
15. Pilliar RM. Overview of surface variability of metallic endosseous dental implants: textured and porous surface-structured designs. *Implant Dent* 1998;7(4):305-314.
16. Goldberg VM, Jinno T. The bone-implant interface: a dynamic surface. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants* 1999;9:11-21.
17. Gotfredsen K, Nimb L, Hjorting-Hansen E, Jensen JS, Holmen A. Histomorphometric and removal torque analysis for TiO<sub>2</sub>-blasted titanium implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1992;3:77-84.
18. Carlsson L, Roslund T, Albrektsson T. Removal torques for polished and rough titanium implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1988;3:21-24.
19. Cochran DL. A comparison of endosseous dental implant surfaces (State of the Art Review). *J Periodontol* 1999;70:1523-1539.
20. Wieland M, Sittig C, Brunette DM, Textor M, Spencer ND. Measurement and evaluation of the chemical composition and topography of titanium implant surfaces. In: Davies JE, editor. *Bone Engineering*. Toronto, Canada: em. squared. Inc., 2000. p 163-182.
21. Schwartz Z, Boyan BD. Underlying mechanisms at the bone-biomaterial interface. *J Cell Biochem* 1994;56(3):340-347.
22. Cranin AN, Schnitman PA, Rabkin SM, Onesto EJ. Interface analysis of titanium and zirconium bone implants. *Biomaterials* 1975;6:97-101.
23. Albrektsson T, Hansson HA, Ivarsson B. Interface analysis of titanium and zirconium bone implants. *Biomaterials* 1985;6(2):97-101.
24. Cook SD, Kay JF, Thomas KA, Jarcho M. Interface mechanics and histology of titanium ad hydroxylapatite-coated titanium for dental implant applications. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987 ;2(1):15-22.
25. Denissen HW, Kalk W, De Nieuport HM, Maltha JC, Van de Hooff A. Mandibular bone response to plasma-sprayed coatings of hydroxylapatite. *Int J Prosthodont* 1990 ;3(1) :53-58.
26. Lurn L, Beirne OR, Curtis D. Histologic evaluation of hydroxylapatite-coated versus uncoated titanium blade implants in delayed and immediately loaded applications. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1991;6(4):456-462.
27. Webster TJ, Siegel RW, Bizios R. Osteoblast adhesion on nanophase ceramics. *Biomaterials* 1999;20(13):1221-1227.
28. Wataha JC. Materials for endosseous dental implants. *J Oral Rehabil* 1996;23(2):79-90.
29. Zhao G, Raines AL, Wieland M, Schwartz Z, Boyan BD. Requirement for both micron- and submicron scale structure for synergistic responses of osteoblasts to substrate surface energy and topography. *Biomaterials* 2007;28(18):2821-2829.

30. Kieswetter K, Schwartz Z, Dean DD, Boyan BD. The role of implant surface characteristics in the healing of bone. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 1996b;7(4):329-345.
31. Rupp F, Scheideler L, Rehbein D, Axmann D, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Roughness induced dynamic changes of wettability of acid etched titanium implant modifications. *Biomaterials* 2004; 25(7-8):1429-1438.
32. Ferguson SJ, Broggin N, Wieland M, de Wild M, Rupp F, Geis-Gerstorfer J, Cochran DL, Buser D. Biomechanical evaluation of the interfacial strength of a chemically modified SLA titanium surface. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2006;78A:291-297.
33. Francois P, Vaudaux P, Taborelli M, Tonetti M, Lew DP, Descouts P. Influence of surface treatments developed for oral implants on the physical and biological properties of titanium. (II) Adsorption isotherms and biological activity of immobilized fibronectin. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8:217-225.
34. Park JY, Davies JE. Red blood cell and platelet interactions with titanium implant surfaces. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000;11:530-539.
35. Deligianni DD, Katsala N, Ladas S, Sotiropoulou D, Amedee J, Missirlis YF. Effect of surface roughness of the titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V on human bone marrow cell response and on protein adsorption. *Biomaterials* 2001a;22:1241-1251.
36. Bowers KT, Keller JC, Randolph BA, Wick DC, Michaels CM. Optimization of surface micromorphology for enhanced osteoblast responses in vitro. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:302-310.
37. Deligianni DD, Katsala ND, Koutsoukos PG, Missirlis YF. Effect of surface roughness of hydroxyapatite on human bone marrow cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation and detachment strength. *Biomaterials* 2001b;22:87-96.
38. Brunette DM. The effects of implant surface topography on the behavior of cells. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1988a;3:231-246.
39. Boyan BD, Hummert TW, Kieswetter K, Schraub D, Dean DD, Schwartz Z. Effect of titanium surface characteristics on chondrocytes and osteoblasts in vitro. *Cells Mater* 1995;5:323-335.
40. Boyan BD, Batzer R, Kieswetter K, Liu Y, Cochran DL, Szmuckler-Moncler S, Dean DD, Schwartz Z. Titanium surface roughness alters responsiveness of MG63 osteoblast-like cells to  $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$ . *J Biomed Mater Res* 1998;39:77-85.
41. Schwartz Z, Lohmann CH, Vocke AK, Sylvia VL, Cochran DL, Dean DD, Boyan BD. Osteoblast response to titanium surface roughness and  $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$  is mediated through the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2001;56:417-426.
42. Brunette DM. The effect of surface topography on cell migration and adhesion. In: Ratner BD (ed). *Surface characterization of biomaterials*. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1988b:203-217.

43. Chehroudi B, McDonnell D, Brunette DM. The effects of micromachined surfaces on formation of bonelike tissue on subcutaneous implants as assessed by radiography and computer image processing. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1997;34:279-290.
44. Wilkinson CDW, Curtis ASG, Crossan J. Nanofabrication in cellular engineering. *J Vac Sci Technol B* 1998;16:3132-3136.
45. Davies J E Understanding peri-implant endosseous healing. *J Dent Educ.* 2003 Aug;67(8):932-49.
46. Scheideler L, Rupp F, Wendel H-P, Sathe S, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Photocoupling of fibronectin to titanium surfaces influences keratinocyte adhesion, pellicle formation and thrombogenicity, *Dental Materials* 2006;23(4):469-478.
47. Scheideler L, Rupp F, Lindemann W, Axmann D, Gomez-Roman G, Geis-Gerstorfer J, Weber H. Biocompatibility of Microstructured Titanium Implant Surfaces. *J Dent Res* 2003 ;82(B) :241(#1844).
48. Zhu X, Chen J., Scheideler L, Reichl R, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Effects of topography and composition of titanium surface oxides on osteoblast responses. *Biomaterials* 2004;25(18):4087-4103.
49. Khang W, Feldmann S, Hawley CE, Gunsolley J. A multi-center study comparing dual acid-etched and machined-surfaced implants in various bone qualities. *J Periodontol* 2001; 72:1384-1390.
50. Zhao G, Schwartz Z, Wieland M, Rupp F, Geis-Gerstorfer J, Cochran DL, Boyan BD. High Surface Energy Enhances Cell Response to Titanium Substrate Microstructure. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2005;74A:49-58.
51. Buser D, Broggini N, Wieland M, Schenk RK, Denzer AJ, Cochran DL, Hoffmann B, Lussi A, Steinemann SG. Enhanced bone apposition to a chemically modified SLA titanium surface. *J Dent Res* 2004;83(7):529-533.
52. Rupp F, Scheideler L, Olshanska N, De Wild M, Wieland M, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Enhancing surface free energy and hydrophilicity through chemical modification of microstructured titanium implant surfaces. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2006;76A:323-334.
53. Puleo DA, Nanci A. Understanding and controlling the bone-implant interface. *Biomaterials* 1999;20:2311-2321.
54. Morra M. Biochemical modification of titanium surfaces: Peptides and ECM proteins. *European Cells and Materials* 2006;12:1-15.
55. Albrektsson T, Hansson HA, Ivarsson B. Interface analysis of titanium and zirconium bone implants. *Biomaterials* 1985;6(2):97-101.
56. Akagawa Y, Ichikawa Y, Nikai H, Tsuru H. Interface histology of unloaded and early loaded partially stabilized zirconia endosseous implants in initial bone healing. *J Prosthet Dent* 1993;69:599-604.
57. Akagawa Y, Hosokawa R, Sato Y, Kamayama K. Comparison between freestanding and tooth-connected partially stabilized zirconia implants after two years'function in monkeys: A clinical and histologic study. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998;80:551-558.

58. Dubruille JH, Viguier, E, Le Naour G, Dubruille MT, Auriol M, Le Charpentier Y. Evaluation of combinations of titanium, zirconia and alumina implants with 2 bone fillers in the dog. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999 ;14(2) :271-277.
59. Kohal RJ, Weng D, Bächle M, Klaus G. Zirkonoxid-Implantate unter Belastung. Eine vergleichende histologische, tierexperimentelle Untersuchung. *Z Zahnärztl Implantol* 2003;19(2):88-91.
60. Sennerby L, Dasmah A, Larsson B, Iverhed M. Bone tissue responses to surface-modified zirconia implants: A histomorphometric and removal torque study in the rabbit. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2005 ;7(Suppl 1) :13-20.
61. 2. Europäische Konsensuskonferenz (EuCC) des BDIZ EDI zum Thema Keramik in der Implantologie vom 18.02.2007 in Köln.
62. Kohal RJ, Papavasiliou G, Kamposiora P, Tripodakis A, Strub JR. Threedimensional computerized stress analysis of commercially pure titanium and yttrium-partially stabilized zirconia implants. *Int J Prosthodont* 2002 ;15 :189-194.
63. Schultze-Mosgau S, Schliephake, Radespiel-Tröger M, Neukam FW. Osseointegration of endodontic endosseous cones: Zirconium oxide vs titanium. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Path* 2000;89(1):91-98.
64. Scarano A, Di Carlo F, Quaranta M, Piattelli A. Bone response to zirconia ceramic implants: an experimental study in rabbits. *J Oral Implantol* 2003;29:8-12.
65. Volz U. Zirkonoxid-Implantate mit Zirkonoxid-Kronen. Metallfreie Rekonstruktion – Eine Fallbeschreibung. *Z Zahnärztl Impl* 2003;19(3):176-180.
66. Mellinghoff J. Erste klinische Ergebnisse zu dentalen Schraubenimplantaten aus Zirkonoxid. *Z Zahnärztl Impl* 2006;22(4):288-293.