

ZMK (22) 5/06, S. 292 ff.

Dr. Aladin Sabbagh

Literatur zum Artikel „Die progressive Bissumstellung mit der SUS-Apparatur“.

1. Aelbers CMF, Dermaut LR. Orthopädie in der Orthodontie - Ein Literaturüberblick. *Inf Orthod Kieferorthop.* 1999; 31:65-79.
2. Blackwood HO. Clinical management of the Jasper Jumper. *I Clin Orthod.* 1991; 25:755-60.
3. Bumann, L. Funktionsdiagnostik und Therapieprinzipien, 98-121, Thieme Verlag, ISBN 3-13-787501-3, 2000.
4. Covell DA, Trammell DW, Boero RP, et al. A cephalometric study of Class II Division 1 malocclusions treated with the Jasper Jumper appliance. *Angle Orthod.* 1999; 69:311-20.
5. Ehmer U, Tulloch CJF, Proffit WR, et al. Internationaler Vergleich zur Frühbehandlung von Angle KL. II/1-Dysgnathien. *J Orofac Orthop/ Fortschr Kieferorthop.* 1999; 60:392-408.
6. Gesch D. Eine Longitudinale Untersuchung über das Wachstum bei unbehandelten Kindern mit Angle-Klasse II/1-Malokklusion. *J Orofac Orthop/Fortschr Kieferorthop.* 2000; 61:20-33.
7. Herbst E. Atlas und Grundriss der Zahnärztlichen Orthopädie. München: J.F.Lehmann Verlag; 1910.
8. Hui Xiong et al. *The Angle Orthodontist: Vol. 74, No. 1, pp. 86-92. 2003. The Effect of Continuous Bite-Jumping in Adult Rats: A Morphological Study.*
9. Leung DK, Hagg U: An electromyographic investigation of the first six months of progressive mandibular advancement of the Herbst appliance in adolescents. *Angle orthodontics.* 2001, 71:177-184
10. McNamara JA, Howe RP, Dischinger TG. A comparison of the Herbst and Fränkel appliances in the treatment of Class II malocclusion. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1990; 98:134-44.
11. McNamara JA Jr, Hinton RJ, Hoffman DL. Histologic analysis of temporomandibular joint adaptation to protrusive function in young adult rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *Am J Orthod.* 1982; 82:288-298.
12. McNamara JA Jr. Neuromuscular and skeletal adaptations to altered function in the orofacial region. *Am J Orthod.* 1973; 64:578-606.
13. Pancherz, Ruf and Kohlhas. „Effective condylar growth“ and chin position changes in Herbst treatment. *Am J Orthod.* Oct.1998; 437-455.
14. Pancherz H, Hansen K. Occlusal changes during and after Herbst treatment: a cephalometric investigation. *Eur J Orthod.* 1986; 8:215-28.
15. Pancherz H. The Herbst appliance - its biologic effects and clinical use. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1985; 87:1-20.
16. Pancherz H. The mechanism of Class II correction in Herbst appliance treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1982; 82:104-13.
17. Pancherz H. The effect of continuous bite jumping on the dentofacial complex: a follow-up study after Herbst appliance, treatment of Class II malocclusions. *Eur J Orthod.* 1981; 3:49-60.

18. Pancherz H. Treatment of Class II malocclusions by jumping the bite with the Herbst appliance. A cephalometric investigation. *Am J Orthod.* 1979; 76:423-442.
19. Rabie AB, Wong L, Tsai M. Replicating mesenchymal cells in the condyle and glenoid fossa during mandibular forward advancement. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003; 123:49-57.
20. Richter & Richter, 2004, an MRI-monitored investigation of condyle-fossa relationship, *orthodontics* 1: 43-51, 2004.
21. Ruf S, Pancherz H. Dentoskeletal effects and facial profile changes in young adults treated with the Herbst appliance. *Angle Orthod.* 1999; 69:239-46.
22. Ruf, S, Pancherz H. The mechanism of Class II correction during Herbst therapy in relation to the vertical jaw base relationship: A cephalometric roentgenographic study, *Angle Orthod.* 1997; 67(4):271-276.
23. Sabbagh, A. Kiefergelenkdysfunktion, Teil I, 130-133. ZMK Nr. 3, Spitta Verlag, 2000.
24. Sabbagh, A. Kiefergelenkdysfunktion, Teil II, 210-212. ZMK Nr. 4, Spitta Verlag, 2000.
25. Sabbagh, A. Kiefergelenkdysfunktion, Teil III, 294-298. ZMK Nr. 5, Spitta Verlag, 2000.
26. Sabbagh, A. Neue klinische Anwendungsmöglichkeit der Herbst-Apparatur, 29-32. ZMK Nr. 1/2, Spitta Verlag, 1997.
27. Schindler, S. URN: [urn:nbn:de:hebis:26-opus-13924](http://nbn:de:hebis:26-opus-13924) URL: <http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/volltexte/2004/1392/> , Dentoskelettale und faziale Veränderungen bei der Distalbissbehandlung (Klasse II-1) von Erwachsenen mit der Herbst-/Multibracket-Apparatur: eine röntgenkephalometrische Untersuchung, 2004.
28. Schwindling 1995, Jasper jumper TM bildatlas, 75-82, edition schwindling, ISBN 3-931062-01-.
29. Stucki N, Bengt I. The use of the Jasper Jumper for the correction of Class II malocclusion in the young permanent dentition. *Eur J Orthod.* 1998; 20:271-81.
30. Weiland FJ, Ingervall B, Bantleon HP et al. Initial effects of treatment of Class II malocclusions with the Herren activator, activatorheadgear combination and Jasper Jumper. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1997; 112:19-27.
31. Wieslander, L. Intensive treatment of severe Class II malocclusions with a headgear-Herbst-appliance in the early mixed dentition. *Am J Orthod.* 1984; 86:1-13.
32. Wong GWK, So LLY, Hagg U. A comparative study of sagittal correction with the Herbst appliance in two different ethnic groups. *Eur J Orthod.* 1997; 19:195-204.
33. Xi Du et al. Effects of headgear Herbst and mandible step-by-step advancement versus conventional Herbst appliance and maximal jumping of the mandible. *The European Journal of Orthodontics*, Volume 24, Issue 2, April 2002: pp. 167-174.
34. ZM 93, 14, 16.7.2003-1743.